



Wednesday, June 28, 2023 8:30am-4:30pm Minneapolis, MN

> Scan for Conference Registration Website



Stantec

Coon Creek Watershed District Biochar Filter Case Study Ed Matthiesen, P.E.

Biochar used for Stormwater Filters

In-line Stream 'Job Box' filters



Biochar for stormwater pollutant Removal

Large Scale Demonstration Biochar- & IESFs

Biochar- and Iron-Enhanced Sand Filters (BIESFs)

- Woodcrest Filter: gravity-fed pond bench filter retrofit (dark yellow)
- Pleasure Creek Filter: pump-based filter basins (dark red)
- Constructed October 2019 June 2020
- Both filter BMPs comprised of 2 filter cells one iron-sand cell and one iron-sand cell with biochar added (30% by volume)
- "IESF" vs "BIESF" head-to-head tests





Woodcrest BIESF

- Treats 0.9 sq. mi. drainage area
- 2 cfs gravity system
 - ~0.7-inch storm event
- 1/3rd Football field, in scale
- \$485,000 to construct



Woodcrest BIESF – existing



Woodcrest BIESF – proposed



• Woodcrest BIESF – proposed X-S





Woodcrest BIESF – sampling



Woodcrest BIESF – sampling



Woodcrest BIESF – construction



Woodcrest BIESF – operation



Woodcrest BIESF – operation





Pleasure Creek North BIESF

- Treats 0.6 sq. mi. area
- 120-200 gpm pumped system
- Treats 200-300 af/yr
- 26-43 lbs TP/yr



Pleasure Creek North BIESF – existing



Pleasure Creek North BIESF – proposed



Pleasure Creek North BIESF – proposed



Pleasure Creek North BIESF – construction



Pleasure Creek North BIESF – operation



Pleasure Creek North BIESF – operation



Construction difficulties

Woodcrest BIESF

- Disc Golf Course
- Groundwater Seepage
 - Installed clay liner
 - Installed/Constructed a bypass filter
- Biochar Supplier (both filters)

Pleasure Creek North BIESF

- ~10 feet of Peat Soil
 - Pre-Loaded (surcharged) site post-excavation with Clay
 - Added helical piles to two structures.
- Biochar Supplier (both filters)

Construction Difficulties – Peat Surcharge



Biochar installation

Biochar Installation

• 30% Biochar by Volume

• Will move to 25% for future installations to reduce hydraulic restrictions (increase hydraulic capacity)

• Mixing is ideal

- Peterson Companies mixed sand-iron off-site via auger
- Avoid over-working the product

• Layer and Till vs. Layering

- Propose a 1.2 ft Media:
- 0.3' Sand 0.15' Biochar 0.3' Sand 0.15' Biochar 0.3' Sand
- Biochar is ~1.0 specific gravity

• Biochar products have inconsistent gradations

Performance monitoring

- Paired grab samples (untreated influent versus filtered effluent x2)
 - E. coli
 - Total Phosphorus
 - Ortho Phosphorus
 - TSS
- Sonde measurements of DO, pH, conductivity, temp
- Continuous flow measurements (AV sensors, pump rate)
- Continuous level loggers in all media beds



2020 Cumulative Pollutant Load Reductions

 \bigcirc

Overall % Load Reduction				
Filter Cell	E. coli	ТР	ОР	
Woodcrest BIESF	89%	78%	74%	
Woodcrest IESF	72%	83%	89%	
Pleasure Cr BIESF	87% 1	56%]	-10%	0.02 lbs ex
Pleasure Cr IESF	84%	43%	-41%	- 0.08 lbs ex
	9.9 billion orgs captured	3.64 lbs captured		•

2020 Influent vs Effluent Pollutant Event Loads



Biochar for stormwater pollutant Removal

- Summary of 2020 results
- All filter cells reduced *E. coli* and TP concentrations & loads
- At Woodcrest Filter, the biochar cell removed 17% more *E. coli* than IESF cell (89% v 72% cumulative load reduction)
- At Pleasure Creek, both filter cells performed similarly at removing E. coli (87% vs 84% cumulative load reduction)
- TP load removals were comparable between media types; IESF outperformed BIESF at Woodcrest by 5%, but BIESF > IESF at Pleasure Creek by 13%
- For OP, IESF outperformed BIESF by 15% at Woodcrest. At Pleasure Creek, insignificant amounts of leaching were observed from both media types, but slightly more export from IESF cell.

Romoval officiancias ware variable across individual events: all calls

• 2021 preliminary findings

• Drought impacted operation and sampling of both filters

- At Woodcrest Filter, BIESF cell removed 11% more *E. coli* than IESF cell
 - 69% v 58% cumulative load reduction (89% v 72% in 2020)
 - Unlike in 2020, export was observed during some small events
- At Pleasure Creek, only 1 of 11 samples had influent *E. coli* >126 cfu/100 ml. For this event, *E. coli* was reduced 98% by BIESF and 99.8% by IESF.
- TP continued to be consistently removed at both filters and both media types
- Insignificant leaching of OP was observed at Pleasure Creek (0.3 lbs/yr; influent OP was below detection in half of samples)

Eiltor BMD/ Modia	Cumulative load reduction		
	ТР	ОР	
Woodcrest BIESF	85%	68%	
Woodcrest IESF	84%	64%	
Pleasure BIESF	59%	-108%	
Pleasure IESF	47%	13%	

Conclusions & Future Work

- Biochar amendments to sand filters may increase *E. coli* removal by 5-20%, especially when influent concentrations are high
- Adding biochar to IESFs does not significantly impact phosphorus removal
- Biochar is a low cost, low risk media amendment with potential to increase removal of bacteria
 - BIESF cells ~6% more expensive than IESF cells
 - Assuming Biochar is 30% by volume
 - Biochar [installed] Average Unit Price: \$330/CY
 - Iron-Enhanced Sand [installed] Average Unit Price: \$273/CY
- Biochar may also reduce other pollutants of concerns (pesticides, heavy metals, PAHs) and support plant growth in bioengineering practices

Project partners

Project funde











•Thank you



